

MINUTES OF BRAILSFORD AND EDNASTON PARISH COUNCIL MEETING
HELD ON 2.3.22 AT BRAILSFORD INSTITUTE

PRESENT Councillors Laughlin (Chair), K Cragg, E Tice, S Thompson, G Woodhouse, Parish Clerk, Councillor M Morley (DDDC)

22/047 APOLOGIES

Councillors K Kerr, L Thompson, Councillor S Bull (DCC)

22/048 VARIATION OF ORDER OF BUSINESS

None

22/049 DECLARATION OF MEMBERS INTERESTS

None

22/050 PUBLIC SPEAKING

Councillor Morley reported that there maybe some money for the Queens Diamond Jubilee. The new Local Plan land proposals are being reviewed by the Planning Committee.

22/051 MINUTES OF MEETING HELD 2.2.22

It was resolved that the Minutes of the Parish Council meetings held on 2.2.22 were approved and signed by the Chair.

22/052 TO DETERMINE WHICH ITEMS, IF ANY, FROM PART 1 OF THE AGENDA SHOULD BE TAKEN WITH THE PUBLIC EXCLUDED

None

22/053 CHAIRMANS ANNOUNCEMENT

The Parish Council expressed its solidarity for the Ukraine. It was agreed to promote what is needed. Ukraine Relief Fund: Ashbourne Elim church are taking in items for Ukraine refugees. Donations are being collected twice a week from Derby.

22/054 FLOODING/STW UPDATE

The Parish Council had received data from STW. Councillors asked if STW are releasing any sewage into local water courses.

22/055 BRAILSFORD INSTITUTE UPDATE/QUEENS PLATINUM JUBILEE EVENT

The working group is meeting regularly to organise the events. A flyer is due to go out. Raffle tickets have been organised.

22/056 EDNASTON TRAFFIC TO PEDESTRIANS

A site visit in Ednaston was held with DCC, Councillor Tice and a number of residents. DCC agreed to look at altering an out of sync kerb and putting bollards on a verge. Data analysis of HGVs going through the village needs to be reviewed and potentially a new traffic survey. Depending on the results a weight restriction/no through road signage could be implemented Clerk to follow up and thank Cllr Bull and the DCC officers for the site visit.

22/057 SID PROPOSAL

Councillor Laughlin reported that there had been no response to date for the request for an additional SID. The flashing speed sign has been intermittent and there are bulbs out.

22/058 PROPOSED PUBLIC MEETING

The public meeting was confirmed as taking place on the 6 April 7.30 pm. This is to be run in conjunction with the South Dales Health Group who have taken over the running of the surgeries in Brailsford & Hlland Ward. Contact regarding the meeting has also been maintained with I Longstaff who chairs the local Patient Participation Group (PPG). It was agreed that the key objective of the meeting was for local residents to hear first hand about the Group's future plans for the surgery; to understand what impact these might have on the Parish and on other facilities and resources; and to give the PC a collective view of local need. The flyer has been approved and Clerk to organise printing and volunteers required to distribute.

22/059 MISSION STATEMENT / RESPONSIBILITIES

A meeting had taken place and a questionnaire drafted. Questionnaire to be printed for circulation at the public meeting if possible.

22/060 APPOINTMENT OF INTERNAL AUDITOR

It was resolved to appoint S Lorking.

22/061 ROAD SAFETY MARKINGS

No further action at present.

22/062 PARISH ONLINE

No further update

22/063 CLERKS REPORT

Nothing to report

22/064 CORRESPONDENCE FOR ACTION

- None

22/065 FINANCE

RESOLVE That the Accounts listed below be accepted for payment:-

L Storey	Salary	422.07
HMRC	PAYE	101.80
Fox Landscaping	Grounds maintenance 8039	123.61
Tudor Landscapes	Inspection play area 220202	16.00
TOTAL		663.48

Monthly accounts circulated

22/066 PLANNING

Applications

- T/22/00032/TPO, Remove 1no. ash tree at 1 The Spinney Luke Lane
- 21/01452/FUL, Conversion, alterations and extensions to existing garage to form 1no. dwellinghouse at Garage At Sunnycroft Alley Walk
- 22/00082/AGR Agricultural Prior Notification -Proposed extension to agricultural building for the storage of hay and straw at Oakfields Farm North Lane Brailsford

Approved

- 21/01469/FUL Proposed extension to existing general purpose agricultural storage building at Birch House Farm
- 21/01467/FUL Jasmine Cottage Alley Walk Proposed two storey side extension

22/067 CORRESPONDENCE FOR INFORMATION

- DALC February Newsletter
- DCC March's health and wellbeing roundup
- The Derbyshire County Council (Public Footpath No. 37 (part) – Parish of Brailsford) Public Path Diversion Order 2021
- Response from Angelique Foster, Police and Crime Commissioner for Derbyshire, with regard to the concerns raised about traffic and speed on the road through Brailsford and Ednaston

I write in response to your recent enquiry, relating to obstructive school parking outside Brookfield Meadow and around Luke Lane.

Unfortunately, parking issues of this nature are not unusual and experienced on roads around schools countywide, for which there is no easy solution. These issues are particularly apparent in small historic towns and villages, such as Brailsford, which have a legacy of narrow roads which were never designed for the volumes of traffic (and parking) they now have to cater for the short periods of time during School pick up and drop off times.

It has to be accepted that, for various reasons, many parents and guardians now choose to transport their children to and from school by private car, and will try to park as close to the entrance as possible. Parking of this kind is inevitable to some degree and the provision of yellow line waiting restrictions is rarely an effective means to deal with such problems. Both single and double yellow lines carry an exemption which allows for the setting down and picking up of passengers, which is essentially what is happening with most school-related parking. Even if waiting restrictions were introduced, it is likely that a significant number of parents would continue to park on them. Alternatively, such measures could create a situation in which parents – rather than stopping on the lines – will instead park further away and park in locations that current do not suffer from indiscriminate parking. Which will increase traffic movements, this is something we would clearly wish to avoid.

Waiting restrictions may also restrict residents' ability to accept guests and visitors to their road. Whilst I appreciate the concerns you raise, the crux of the matter would appear to be the behaviour of parents and their inconsiderate parking. Irrespective of whether there are waiting restrictions in place, there is a legal requirement upon all motorists to ensure that they do not park so as to cause an obstruction or danger to other road users. The absence of waiting restrictions does not absolve motorists of this responsibility.

Where obstruction of the carriageway and footpath occurs, the Police are sometimes able to carry out enforcement action. An officer would need to be able to demonstrate that a driver's action in parking is 'unreasonable', having regard to the duration, position and purpose of the parking. Whilst the short-term nature

of school parking may make enforcement impractical, you may wish to approach your local Safer Neighbourhood Team for further advice, who you can contact via the Police's 101 non-emergency number.

Ultimately it is the school community itself who has the ability to make the area outside the school safe, for their own children and road users in general. As stated above, similar situations occur at most schools and neither the Police, nor the County Council, have the resources to constantly deal with poor parental behaviour. The school, however, is ideally placed to highlight the dangers and issues associated with parking here. As such, you might consider bringing your concerns to the attention of the Headteacher. The School may have opportunity to raise the matter with parents through any newsletters they distribute.

- Response from Severn Trent. From sewer modelling it is confirmed that we currently have capacity for the existing housing and the housing developments newly built/under construction that have planning permission within the public foul / combined sewerage system and at the sewage treatment works, including (where applicable) the surface water discharges to the combined sewer. However, as has been raised with the planners, there will be need to provide more capacity with further development within Brailsford, and a scheme would need to be raised and implemented before we could take further development than has already been allocated planning permission. Current thinking is that for future developments we consider to try to separate as much surface water from the combined sewers as we possibly can with new surface water sewers in appropriate locations, of course existing land drainage systems - culverted watercourses / ditches etc will require to be cleared of debris and where appropriate improved to enable new developments (and any new public sw sewers) to discharge to as is required under the surface water hierarchy requirements.

Our survey work carried out in 2018/19 have found some unidentified manholes and pipes/culverts that we believe are not public sewers. We believe there is a culverted watercourse that goes down Main Road taking the drainage ditch flows from the end of Throstle Nest Way. We have also determined that the sw sewer in Throstle Nest Way does not discharge to the drainage ditch as shown on the sewer records, is blocked off there, and the sewer turns to the south into Alley Walk, we believe this now goes to what we believe is the culverted watercourse in Alley Walk and into the Main Road culvert. This culvert by the way is quite substantial in size being 400mm x 300mm, and further down near to the village hall where it crosses over Main Road the culvert has increased in size and is a rectangular culvert of 600mm x 400mm. This continues in front of the village hall and outfalls to the watercourse a few metres further down.

The attached plans show these uncharted manholes and pipes as far as we have been able to survey. Although we may need to do some further CCTV survey work out in Brailsford to determine a few connectivity question marks – generally any CCTV survey work to judge the full whereabouts, connections and condition of culverted watercourses is not within Severn Trent's remit. This would need to be investigated further by the highway or land drainage authority which is the local council.

Regarding the sewer records, I have attached the sewer records that cover Brailsford. However we do not complete a paper exercise and produce a drawing as was requested, but rather this is done digitally through computer simulations. Consequently providing such a plan is not something we can do. However, as previously said we currently have capacity within the network for the existing flows and those of the developments that are under construction as detailed above.

Whilst talking of capacity, one of the developments at the Old Dairy House site for 19 dwellings, is a brownfield site (i.e. existing developed site being redeveloped) in these cases Severn Trent require a minimum of a 30% reduction in the existing surface water flows going to the public sewer. I believe this was a dairy business in the past. The previous surface water run-off going to the public combined sewer was calculated at around 54 l/sec. The developer has proposed to reduce the flows to a new discharge rate of 10.5 l/sec considerably more reduction than our minimum 30% requirements, so that should leave even more capacity within the combined sewer to receive some increased foul flows. This then provides some betterment to the public network.

Our Operations team have confirmed that the public foul/combined sewer system is working satisfactorily as is the CSO (combined sewer overflow) near to the treatment works, which did suffer from some silt and gravel build up in 2019 which was attributable to debris from one of the new developments being allowed to enter the public sewer system. This was cleared out of the sewers and the CSO chamber thereby restoring the full capacity of the public sewers and the CSO. The developer at the time was made aware of this issue and was told to avoid allowing building debris into the sewers within his site.

Our belief is still that the flooding issues suffered within Brailsford is not related to the public sewers but is caused by land drainage issue within the village.

I can also confirm the following regarding the three new developments that you raised queries against, the Cameron estate, Miller Homes estate and the Avant estate. None of these developments have had the sewers adopted by Severn Trent at this point in time.

Severn Trent cannot provide any timescales for these sewers to be adopted at this time, as these can take some time to complete the adoption procedure due to remedial works that may be required and final site inspections and CCTV surveys by the developer to be completed in due course. Severn Trent are working with Miller Homes and Avant Homes to progress the adoption of the sewers at the appropriate time. It will be at least 12 months, possibly longer. The Avant homes are on a formal 12 month maintenance period but again could take longer. The sewers on the Cameron Home site have not been put forward by the developer to be adopted, these sewers are not under any adoption agreement.

The balancing pond designs for all three developments will have been agreed as part of the planning approval through consultation with the LLFA – whilst it's not part of the S104 adoption, the pond is indicated to attenuate up to the 1:100 year + 40% climate change (+30% for the Miller site, as that may have been before the % value recently changed). But this is stipulations of the planning authority not Severn Trent Water. The public sewer will only cater for the 1:30 year + climate change event

The Residents will be paying a management company for a number of things – probably all the public open space as well as the balancing pond maintenance.

The independent review to establish Serco’s performance against the requirements of the disrupted waste and recycling contract here in the Derbyshire Dales will be debated by councillors at an extraordinary meeting on 17 February.

Allen Graham, who was appointed in November by the District Council to undertake the review, has submitted his report and recommendations.

The report, which finds there has been “an incomplete delivery of the contract requirements by Serco”, is available to view on the council's website now at www.derbyshiredales.gov.uk/independentreview

Mr Graham, a former Chief Executive of Rushcliffe Borough Council and Local Government Association (LGA) Peer Review Chief Executive Lead, will attend the 17 February meeting to present his findings and recommendations to the Council.

The extraordinary meeting will be broadcast live on the District Council’s YouTube channel.

- DALC Spring Seminar - April 5th confirmed

22/068 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

- 4 May 2022 7.30 pm Brailsford Institute Annual Parish Meeting followed by the Annual Parish Council meeting