

**MINUTES OF BRAILSFORD AND EDNASTON PARISH COUNCIL MEETING**  
**HELD ON 2.2.22 AT BRAILSFORD INSTITUTE**

**PRESENT** Councillors Laughlin (Chair), K Cragg, K Kerr, L Thompson, S Thompson, G Woodhouse, Parish Clerk, Councillor S Bull (DCC)

**22/025 APOLOGIES**

Councillor E Tice, Councillor M Morley (DDDC)

**22/026 VARIATION OF ORDER OF BUSINESS**

None

**22/027 DECLARATION OF MEMBERS INTERESTS**

None

**22/028 PUBLIC SPEAKING**

Councillor Bull gave an update on the Ednaston site meeting. It was agreed to hold next Thursday 10.2.22. The response from DCC regarding parking on Luke Lane was discussed. It was agreed to ask for a TRO. It was agreed to invite the Police to PC meetings.

It was agreed to question the extension of the 30-mph speed limit sign on A52 (route towards Derby) to a location before the entrance to the Avant estate as was believed to have been agreed in the planning conditions. A Councillor asked for details about funding for the potential extension at the school. Councillor Bull confirmed the school would be able to provide further details.

**22/029 MINUTES OF MEETING HELD 12.1.22**

It was resolved that the Minutes of the Parish Council meetings held on 12.1.22 were approved and signed by the Chair.

**22/030 TO DETERMINE WHICH ITEMS, IF ANY, FROM PART 1 OF THE AGENDA SHOULD BE TAKEN WITH THE PUBLIC EXCLUDED**

None

**22/031 CHAIRMANS ANNOUNCEMENT**

Councillor Laughlin had received an update on the DDC refuse concerns. Councillors agreed that they would like to see money back in the public purse and consideration given to compensation for residents. Details about a potential S106 agreement and a new doctor's surgery were discussed. It was agreed to organise a public meeting in March/April with representatives of the doctors to establish what the public would like to see in the future.

**22/032 FLOODING/STW UPDATE**

We are currently looking to respond to Sarah Dines MP as soon as possible regarding the query raised about a full audit of the pipework that the Parish Council are expecting. Can you please clarify exactly what the Parish is expecting as a 'full audit' of pipework? What information are they looking for as this seems unclear - is this related to the public sewers, if so which ones, or is this relating to the sewers on the development sites? If the latter then those sewers are still private (belonging to the developer) as they are not yet adopted under Section 104 therefore we would not supply any information for those. Looking back at the previous correspondence between ourselves, and our internal correspondence I cannot find any reference to a required "full audit of pipes."

To confirm, my previous response to you last week (17<sup>th</sup> Jan) covered the query about the balancing ponds, and that they are not within our remit to adopt or look after and these are designed to Sewers for Adoption standards in terms of the inlet and outlet chambers / headwalls etc, but the balancing requirements and any safety requirements and final discharge rates to the watercourse are down to the LLFA to determine. I look forward to receiving your response regarding the audit expectations from the Parish Council. A summary of information required was discussed. Clerk to respond with suggestions made by Councillors.

**22/033 BRAILSFORD INSTITUTE UPDATE/QUEENS PLATINUM JUBILEE EVENT**

There was no further update on the future of the building.

The Platinum Jubilee event organisation which is jointly supported by the Parish Council and the Inst Committee is being led by an independent working group. It was agreed to apply for a further grant of £400 towards the Platinum Jubilee. The plans include a barn dance, fete, sports day and street party at the institute. It was agreed to underwrite the event up to £3k.

**22/034 EDNASTON TRAFFIC TO PEDESTRIANS**

Discussed under public speaking. Site visit planned for next week.

**22/035 SID PROPOSAL**

Councillor Laughlin had written to Chris Henning requesting permission to purchase an additional flashing speed sign. A response is awaited.

**22/036 NOTICEBOARDS**

£300 in donations from Councillor Morley had been received. Investigations are ongoing.

## **22/037 MISSION STATEMENT / RESPONSIBILITIES**

The working group meeting is 16 February via Zoom.

## **22/038 REMEMBRANCE SUNDAY – FLAG**

Councillor Thompson reported that the flag has now been stored at the Institute.

## **22/039 ROAD SAFETY MARKINGS**

A response had been received from DCC regarding concerns along Luke Lane. It was agreed to request a TRO.

## **22/040 PARISH ONLINE**

No further update

## **22/041 CLERKS REPORT**

Nothing to report

## **22/042 CORRESPONDENCE FOR ACTION**

- None

## **22/043 FINANCE**

**RESOLVE** That the Accounts listed below be accepted for payment:-

L Storey	Salary	193.03
HMRC	PAYE	48.20
Fox Landscaping	Grounds maintenance	123.61
Tudor Landscapes	Inspection play area 220107	16.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>380.84</b>

Monthly accounts circulated

## **22/044 PLANNING**

### Applications

22/00006/FUL Proposed replacement dwellinghouse (resubmission) at Over Hills Lodge North Lane Brailsford

21/01467/FUL Jasmine Cottage Alley Walk Proposed two storey side extension

21/01509/LBALT, Replacement of 4no. sash windows at Brailsford House Main Road Brailsford

21/01469/FUL Proposed extension to existing general purpose agricultural storage building at Birch House Farm

### Approved

21/01005/FUL Change of use of land for the siting of caravans and associated new track and formation of 2no. parking areas with associated tracks at Heritage Wood Ednaston Business Centre Hollington Lane Ednaston

21/01400/FUL Land at Ednaston Park Painters Lane Ednaston Erection of garden maintenance store

### Refused

21/01155/FUL, Change of use and alterations to agricultural building to provide 3no. Class E(g), B2 and B8 commercial units with associated vehicle parking and extension to hardstanding (part retrospective) at Burton Shutts Farm Cuscas Lane Brailsford

## **22/045 CORRESPONDENCE FOR INFORMATION**

- DALC January Newsletter
- DCC Community news
- Covid vaccines and Transport
- Derbyshire Dales District Council Area Community Forums - February 2022
- Luke Lane School parking update from DCC. First of all, please be assured that I understand your concerns for conditions on Luke Lane at the beginning and end of the school day. It is wholly acknowledged that this type of activity is a genuine concern for residents who live near schools and road users in general. It has to be accepted that for various reasons many parents now choose to transport their children to and from school by private car. This can create problems and is a reoccurring concern at many schools throughout the County. Regrettably this parking is not easy to effectively address with traffic management measures given some of the behaviours involved. The reality is that we are not able to resource the introduction of waiting restrictions to address many of the localised parking issues on our residential roads, including those as generated by school parking. Additionally, the provision of yellow line waiting restrictions for example, does not prevent drivers from lawfully stopping to set down or pick up passengers. Recently, an independent adjudicator decided that an Authority were being unreasonable by not allowing for parents to stop for a short period to collect their children, and the subsequent Penalty Charge Notice (ticket) was cancelled. As you may be aware, parking already takes place on some of the existing waiting restrictions near schools around the County. It is the Derbyshire County Council civil parking team who carry out enforcement of waiting restrictions such as double yellow lines. The civil parking enforcement

(CPE) team comprises of around 30 civil parking enforcement officers (CEOs) to cover the whole of Derbyshire including around 80 school sites. The CPE team do have rotas to attend school sites and carry out enforcement as and when a vehicle is contravening waiting restrictions. They do report that parking behaviour improves when officers are present but reports from members of the public show that bad parking resumes when officers are not present to carry out enforcement duties. As you will understand from the above comments regarding the numbers of available CEOs they cannot be present at all school sites at every drop off / pick up time. Their availability must be balanced with their other duties such as the enforcement of waiting restrictions to ensure that traffic flows are not held up by vehicles contravening restrictions. The civil parking officers do not have powers to enforce vehicular obstruction of the footway. The police do have powers to deal with obstructively parked vehicles on a footway. They can be contacted on the non-emergency telephone No 101. They must witness a vehicle being parked in an obstructive manner and will not issue tickets retrospectively on photographic evidence. It is worth noting however that in general terms, the congestion caused by parents parking around schools has been found to have a beneficial road safety spin-off as far as traffic speeds are concerned. If the parking were to be removed it would have the effect of speeding traffic up by giving passing motorists a clear run. This could increase the real dangers to pedestrians which we are sure you will agree, should be avoided. This is a genuinely held view that is a core consideration. Whilst conditions outside schools and associated congestion can be a real worry in terms of road safety, reduced traffic speeds where children may be crossing the road are the main consideration. Doing anything that would potentially increase these would in turn increase risk. As such, increasing traffic flows outside schools at the beginning and end of the day is not necessarily a prime aim. I appreciate that this response will be a disappointment to you though hope that this information is of some assistance in outlining our stance on the issue of school parking.

- Severn Trent update. Regarding the matter of the public combined sewers network within Brailsford I can only refer you to the correspondence from Sarah Dines MP below, dated 14<sup>th</sup> Dec 2021, referring to the response she got from Severn Trent. The public sewerage network has sufficient capacity to accept the existing and the planned development foul only flows within Brailsford that is currently on the local plan. Increased development in the future will continue to be monitored through the planning process and Severn Trent's AMP period investment planning where required to ensure that the infrastructure is in place / improved for future foul discharges to the network. All surface water flows must go to the agreed surface water disposal hierarchy of soakaways, watercourses/culverted watercourses, land drainage ditches or public surface water sewers in that order as preferred and acceptable surface water disposal options. The present flooding is from land drainage issues relating to unrestricted field run-off, highway run-off and blocked or overgrown watercourses, culverts and ditches within Brailsford and the immediate surrounding area, all are outside of Severn Trent's responsibility. Regarding the matter and safety concerns of the attenuation ponds at the Cameron Homes and Miller Homes sites I must refer you to the local planning authority and the LLFA who would have made comments on the proposed attenuation ponds design, layout and the surface water discharges to the local watercourses. Severn Trent's involvement with these are with the compliance of the design with Sewers for Adoption for the Section 104 Agreement, i.e. a specified steepness of pond bank slope and the construction of headwall inlet/outlet structures, for the adoption of the sewers and other on site facilities. Severn Trent do not adopt attenuation ponds, these are either adopted by the local council under public open space areas, the local Parish Council or are kept as owned by the developer and contracted out to a private land management company for the care and maintenance of that area going forwards, these may be for a specified time period i.e. 25 years which then may transfer to the local authority ownership and responsibility. The LLFA may have more knowledge of the long term maintenance of these areas and the requirement for any fencing than I do. Most attenuation ponds do not have fencing as it is usually a requirement for aesthetics to keep the area as natural as possible, and due to the steepness of the banking to be kept within certain ranges. Some attenuation ponds are designed to have some water in at all times, as an aesthetic and ecological requirement by the LLF/Planners, or they may be 100% dry during non-rainfall times.

#### **22/046 DATE OF NEXT MEETING**

- 2 March 2022 7.30 pm Brailsford Institute